Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries. For the Tenth Plan, the Department had proposed an allocation of Rs. 3250.00 crore and was actually allocated Rs,2500,00 crore.

Statement

Contribution of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries in the Ninth and Tenth Five Year Plan

(Rs. in crore)

Year	GDP at current prices from Live-stock and Fisheries Sectors	Total GDP of the country at current prices	Percentage contribu-tion of livestock and fisheries sector in total GDP at current prices(%)
Ninth Plan			
1997-98	99196	1390148	7.1
1998-99	109263	1598127	6.8
1999-00	112730	1792292	6.3
2000-01	124361	1930184	6.4
2001-02	132076	2097446	6.3
Tenth Plan			
2002-03	134572	2255574	6.0
2003-04	142359	2543396	5.6
2004-05	153580	2843897	5.4
2005-06	NA	3209397	NA

Note: Data for 1997-98 and 1998-99 is as per old series of national accounts with base year 1993-94=100; Data for 1999-2000 onwards is as per new series of national accounts with base year 1999-2000.

Litchi Production

† 1819. SHRI MANGANI LAL MANDAL: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there are immense potential of intensive production of improved variety of litchi in the country including Bihar and Uttaranchal;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(b) whether it is also a fact that litchi has very high demand abroad in terms of export;

(c) whether the results of the scheme implemented by Government for litchi production cannot be termed as encouraging; and

(d) if so, the complete State-wise details of its production, export and patronage based on last five years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI KANTILAL BHURIA): (a) to (d) There is immense potential for improving the production of litchi in the country especially in Bihar and Uttaranchal. Some of the improved varieties of litchi grown in the country are Early and Late Bedana, Rose Scented, Shahi, China, Purbi, Kasba, Dehradun, Calcuttia, Bombay Green, Kalyani Selection and Muzaffarpur. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India has been implementing two Centrally Sponsored Schemes namely (i) Technology Mission for Integrated Development of Horticulture in North Eastern States, Sikkim, Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh and Uttaranchal and (ii) National Horticulture Mission for improving the production and productivity of horticulture crops, which has encouraged commercial cultivation of various horticultural crops including litchi in the country. There is huge demand of litchi in the international markets. European Union, Hong Kong, Singapore and Middle East countries import around 30,000 tonnes of litchi every year. India exports litchi mainly to Belgium, Netherlands, France, Bahrain, Saudi Arabia and others. In order to promote export of litchi, Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority (APEDA), Ministry of Commerce had identified Bihar, Uttaranchal and West Bengal States as three Agri-Export Zones for litchi in the country. These details of production and export of litchi are as under.

Production of litchi (000'MT)

State	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
Bihar	303.3	221.7	336.9	339.0	204.9
West Bengal	42.0	61.4	63.9	64.7	69.9
Assam	18.4.	18.7	18.8	19.8	22.5
Uttaranchal	8.8	7.5	13.5	5.8	8.9
Punjab	11.5	11.6	11.8	12.2	12.6

State	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
Orissa	8.2	10.2	10.8	11.9	11.9
Tripura	8.9	9.0	9.0	12.5	12.4
Jharkhand	5.0	7.5	7.5	7.5	16.5
Others	5.9	8.5	4.2	5.1	8.9
TOTAL :	412.0	356.1	476.4	478.5	368.6

Export of Litchi

Year	Quantity (in Kg.)	Value (in Rs.)
2001-02	28,700	85,98,664
2002-03	3,47,635	1,00,22,430
2003-04	8,54,228	99,97,558
2004-05	5,44,680	70,83,204
2005-06 (April to December)	6,12,090	78,80,000

Implementation of PMRY in Andhra Pradesh

1820.SHRIMATI N.P. DURGA: Will the Minister of AGRO AND RURAL INDUSTRIES be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have recently evaluated the implementation of the PMRY in the country;

(b) if so, the details thereof for the State of Andhra Pradesh;

(c) the drawbacks found out in implementation of PMRY in the State;

(d) the remedial measures being taken to plug the loopholes found;

(e) the details of beneficiaries of PMRY in the State during the last three years, year-wise and district-wise; and

(f) the efforts being made by Government to make the programme a result-oriented so as to give a boost to the implementation of this programme?